## Some Exercises on Pareto Aggregation

Assume throughout that P is an irreflexive relation on the set X; that  $I := P^c \cup (P^{-1})^c$  – i.e., that xIy if and only if neither xPy nor yPx; and that  $R := P \cup I$  – i.e., that xRy if and only if xPy or xIy. ( $P^c$  denotes the complement of P – i.e.,  $xP^cy$  if and only if "not xPy.") For any list  $(P_1, ..., P_n)$  of preference relations, let  $\overline{P}$  denote the associated Pareto relation, i.e., the Pareto aggregation of  $(P_1, ..., P_n)$ .

**Exercise 1:** Prove that the relation R is transitive if and only if its associated P and I are both transitive.

**Exercise 2:** Prove that if, for each  $i \in N$ ,  $P_i$  is irreflexive, then  $\overline{P}$  is irreflexive.

**Exercise 3:** Provide a counterexample to the following proposition: "If, for each  $i \in N$ ,  $P_i$  is transitive, then  $\overline{P}$  is transitive." (Try to find the simplest possible counterexample. It might help to use the interpretation that the elements of X are universities, or economics departments, or basketball teams, etc. It may also help in this case to remember that a binary relation on a set X is a subset of  $X \times X$ .)

**Exercise 4:** Prove that if, for an irreflexive relation P, the associated R is transitive, then

- (i)  $xPy \& yRz \Rightarrow xPz$
- (ii)  $xRy \& yPz \Rightarrow xPz$ .

**Exercise 5:** Prove that if, for each  $i \in N$ ,  $R_i$  is transitive, then  $\overline{P}$  is transitive.

The lecture notes provide examples which show that if each  $R_i$  is transitive,  $\overline{I}$  need not be transitive, and thus, according to Exercises 1 and 2,  $\overline{R}$  need not be transitive.